

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
1	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County Office of Emergency Management	Would this grant cover writing evacuation plans or regional evacuation plans?	Yes.
2	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County Office of Emergency Management	On page 22 of the Draft Guidelines, it reads, "Have the applicant's attorney answer the following five questions...." That should be changed to read, "Have the applicant's attorney or appropriate authority answer the following five questions...."	Comment noted. Incorporated into Attachment A-5.
3	4/8/2011	Yuba City	Multiple Commenters		Request <u>at least</u> a 30 day buffer period between the posting of the final guidelines and the start of the application period.	Comment noted.
4	4/8/2011	Yuba City	Dave Heslip or Steve Fordice	RD 784	Will DWR use the Bond Submittal System (BMS) for submission of the applications?	No, DWR will not be using BMS for submission of the applications.
5	4/8/2011	Yuba City	Multiple Commenters		Will there be a performance period where projects must be completed by a certain date ?	Yes. There will be a performance period and it will be based on the scope of work and schedule submitted with the application.
6	4/8/2011	Yuba City			Will there be a maximum ceiling on the funding amount for a project?	No, there will not be a maximum ceiling on the funding amount per project.
7	4/8/2011	Yuba City	Multiple Commenters		Request format parameters for the application such as maximum/minimum number of pages, font size and style, scope of document, etc.	Comment noted. Incorporated into Section 6.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
8	4/8/2011	Yuba City	John Gulserian	Butte County Office of Emergency Management	Page 3, paragraph 1. ".....priority will be given to projects within the Delta's five Operational Areas (Counties) and projects enhancing flood response within this region." Shouldn't that say "for the 5 million in funding from SB2X1 the priority will be given to projects within the Delta but the 5 million in funding from Proop 84 has no geographic priority?	Comment noted. Separation of the two components (Delta Communication-specific and the non-specific Flood ER) increases clarity. Language is modified accordingly.
9	4/13/2011	Stockton	Ron Baldwin	San Joaquin OES	Object to First Come, First Served; encourages applicants to rush; even October deadline will be a challenge	Comment noted.
10	4/13/2011	Stockton	Sean Brooks	RD 2074	Will the grant cover the stockpiling of flood fighting supplies?	Yes.
11	4/13/2011	Stockton	Sean Brooks	RD 2074	Will the grant cover the purchase of property to stockpile flood fighting supplies ? No.	No, the grant would not cover the acquisition of property.
12	4/13/2011	Stockton	Ron Baldwin	San Joaquin OES	A joint application, from multiple Delta entities, avoids a dog fight. Can't deal with the Delta on a county basis.	Comment noted.
13	4/13/2011	Stockton	Bill Darsie	KSN Engineers	There is virtue in a 5-county process. There is no signed agreement but the intent is there. There is also the Delta Coalition.	Comment noted.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
14	4/20/2011	email	Ron Baldwin	San Joaquin OES	<p>The State should ensure that it can evaluate all applications in regard to meeting its goals of comprehensive and regional planning. Therefore, you should eliminate the first-come, first serve provision that was mentioned. Such a policy would only encourage less well thought out applications and would tie your hands in trying to ensure that funds go to the potentially most effective projects and not to limited, parochial projects that could be submitted faster. The guidance should state that applications will be evaluated based on established State criteria and awarded based on those criteria to achieve the best results for the funds spent.</p>	Comment noted.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
15	4/20/2011	email	Ron Baldwin	San Joaquin OES	<p>If the first-come, first serve policy is eliminated then the period for accepting applications is not as critical to ensuring well thought out applications as it would otherwise be. I believe that regional, comprehensive applications will take a few months to work out so an end date in October/November would be helpful. Particularly if you require the local agency resolution then obtaining resolutions from multiple joint applicants could take a while in itself. See next comment on the application forms.</p>	Comment noted.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
16	4/20/2011	email	Ron Baldwin	San Joaquin OES	<p>Modify the application forms to allow for multiple jurisdictions to submit a single joint application. In order to get regional, multi-jurisdictional applications submitted in a timely manner you should only require a letter of intent by a suitably high official (County administrator, reclamation district president) from the jurisdictions participating in a joint application for the State to accept and evaluate the application itself. Require the local agency resolutions to be submitted prior to signing the award contract. Getting resolutions from governing bodies can sometimes be a lengthy process. I think you want the preparing jurisdictions to spend their time on putting together a good application rather than dealing with bureaucratic requirements. The bureaucratic requirements can come later. One agency or official could be designated in the application as the contact for all jurisdictions on a joint application.</p>	<p>Comment noted. Language revised. Increased flexibility on the deadline for the resolution from a local agency has been provided in Section 9, Attachment A-4.</p>

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
17	4/20/2011	email	Ron Baldwin	San Joaquin OES	In the project review process, I would indicate that applications will be reviewed and ranked within 90 days of the close of the application period, not within 90 days of receipt of the application.	Comment noted. Language revised.
18	4/20/2011	email	Ron Baldwin	San Joaquin OES	You should reference the SB27 report as one of your evaluation criteria. You may want to accept applications for projects outside of the recommendations of that report but I would think that you would want to be able to reject applications that contradict SB27 recommendations or would work against implementing the SB27 recommendations.	Comment noted.
19	4/14/2011	Isleton	Mike Moncrief	MBK Engineers	What is the criteria for scoring? Question validity of First Come, First Served which favors large agencies. Prefers ranking criteria.	Comment noted.
20	4/14/2011	Isleton	Marguerite Lawry	Bethel Island Municipal Improvement District	We have terrible cell service. Urge a cell tower at Sherman Island.	Comment noted.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
21	4/14/2011	Isleton	Gary Hester	David Ford Consulting Engineers	No local match is great and the regional approach is great. Need to preserve the LMA's ability to be the initial responder. The criteria should allow a LMA to apply to "get up to" a minimum standard.	Comment noted.
22	4/14/2011	Isleton	Mike Moncrief	MBK Engineers	If it is not a regional stockpile of materials, but regional procurement to then be distributed to regional RD's, would that qualify under this grant ? In other words, bulk acquisition, distribution to RD's, agreement to replenish and maintain the supply, would that qualify?	Yes.
23	4/14/2011	Isleton	Roger Ince	Sacramento County OES	RD's must be NIMS-compliant. There is a free, online course in NIMS. FEMA auditors are going through documents looking for NIMS compliance. Sacramento County uses NIMS/SEMS only and completely.	Comment noted.
24	4/14/2011	Isleton	Gary Hester	David Ford Consulting Engineers	I don't want RD's to get lost in the shuffle with First Come, First Served.	Comment noted.
25	4/14/2011	Isleton	Marguerite Lawry	Bethel Island Municipal Improvement District	It could still be First Come, First Serve if you start at the RD level and then move up.	Comment noted.
26	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	the guidelines should specifically call out evacuation plans as one of the products to be developed	Comment noted.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
27	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	the evacuation plans should evaluate an array of levee breach scenarios at various locations, determine the depth of flooding versus time throughout the area, and plan the evacuation routes accordingly (Sacramento County has developed and posted such maps for Natomas) -- so there would be multiple evacuation plans consistent with multiple levee breach locations	Comment noted.
28	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	flood fight plans should evaluate opportunities for delaying or containing the spread of flood waters after a breach and develop reasonably detailed plans to accomplish the same (such as using an elevated roadway or rail line as a second line of defense, plugging culverts and underpasses) for various levee breach locations	Comment noted.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
29	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	relief cuts should be evaluated and planned for being made immediately after a levee breach (long before the breach could be repaired) to limit flooding in the area -- with specific location, length, depth, equipment required, contractors, and excavation rates. I think that in most levee breach scenarios it will make sense to make a relief cut at the downstream end of the flooded area immediately.	Comment noted.
30	3/25/2011		Rod Mayer	DWR	security measures should be included in the emergency plan to inhibit acts of vandalism or terrorism during high water	Comment noted.
31	4/20/2011	Woodland	Gary Hester	David Ford Consulting	Do you anticipate having a performance period ? Some of these dollars are tied to Prop 84 so they have a deadline when they need to be spent by.	Yes. There will be a performance period and it will be based on the scope of work and schedule submitted with the application.
32	4/20/2011	Woodland	Patricia Williams	Yolo Emergency Communications	Would each project have its own performance period ?	Yes.
33	4/20/2011	Woodland	John Powderly	City of West Sacramento	Can an applicant get bonus points for being in coordination with USACE re the Delta emergency plan?	No.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
34	4/20/2011	Woodland	Gary Hester	David Ford Consulting	A successful applicant will have to sign a contract with DWR and a successful applicant has to be a public agency. Time to sign off on the environmental component - hiccup in timing. What is going to be in that agreement? Will it be constrained to the scope of the grant project?	Comment noted.
35	4/20/2011	Woodland	Patricia Williams	Yolo Emergency Communications	How soon after the application time is the award?	This is dependent upon a number of variables.
36	4/20/2011	Woodland	Kyle Noderer	Yolo County OES	Regarding stockpiles, is there an expectation that a grant recipient would need to maintain the stockpiles?	Yes, the idea is to promote and develop programs that reduce the long term flood risk.
37	4/20/2011	Woodland	Kathryn Chandler	RD 108	Is the grant targeted to urban areas? We are a rural reclamation district and we see a lack of opportunity to participate with regional partners in a grant like this.	We do want regional, we're glad you're here.
38	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Multiple commenters		Request a "buffer zone" between the posting of final guidelines and when the application period starts.	Comment noted.
39	5/4/2011	Sacramento	?		Are there minimum/maximum amounts on the grant funding?	No, there are no minimum/maximum amounts on the grant funding.
40	5/4/2011	Sacramento	?		For applications that are very specific, for example installing a new river gauge, will DWR take that application and integrate it into a regional application?	DWR will look into potential integration to regional applications and will also look into potential duplication.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
41	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Gary Hester	David Ford Consulting	Describe the process where two or more agencies can collaborate to submit a regional application.	One agency must be the lead. DWR only signs a contract with one agency and that one agency takes responsibility for the project. The lead agency needs to demonstrate and produce proof of board action (resolution) somewhere in the process. It would be recommended that the other non-lead agencies also submit a letter of support for the project and the application.
42	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Multiple commenters		What if the resolution from the agency's board is lagging behind? Sometimes it is very difficult to get these boards to agendize the resolution within a tight timeframe because their calendar is already so full.	Comment noted. Language revised. Increased flexibility on the deadline for the resolution from a local agency has been provided in Section 9, Attachment A-4.
43	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Gary Hester	David Ford Consulting	For the O & M agreement, does the lead agency only sign the agreement (contract ???) ?	The lead agency is "on the hook." For example, for 3 separate applications that are related, applicants should indicate the applications are together. One option would be to attach a copy of the inter-agency MOU to each of the individual applications.

Comment	Date	Location	Commentor	Agency/Affiliation	Comment Verbatim	Disposition
44	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Connie Ford	Sacramento County Department of Water Resources	How does this grant fit into the Delta Plan? Is there coordination?	Both the Delta Communications and the non-specific Flood ER component support many of the items listed in Recommendation RR R6 in the Fifth Staff Draft of the Delta Plan. Yes, there is coordination.
45	5/4/2011	Sacramento	Multiple commenters		What does the contract look like? Please post a sample contract when you post the final guidelines.	Comment noted.